



A Systematic Review of Usability Engineering Management Studies for the Aging Population-UEM4Agin

Anam Ashraf^{a*}, Xiaoxian Zhu (Dr)^b, Jianhua Liu (Dr)^c, Qasim Rauf^d

^{a,c,d}*North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power (NCWU), Henan, China*

^b*Teesside University Business School, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, United Kingdom*

^a*Email: anamashraf100@yahoo.com, ^bEmail: x.zhu@tees.ac.uk*

^c*Email: iujianhua@ncwu.edu.cn, ^dEmail: Chqasim27@gmail.com*

Abstract

Usability management is one of the core elements of any software to make it efficient and effective. Unfortunately, most of the time usability as well as its management is neglected while developing software that may result as ineffective and inefficient software design. In different eras different researchers performed studies to highlight the management of usability and to show its importance. Due to the increase in the aging population, the concern for the aging population assistances of each equipment becomes to be necessary. Number of Steps has been taken so far to help out the aging population but remained limited to visual considerations, such as stronger contrasts or larger characters on the displays and printing, or such physical characteristics as the ease for pressing buttons. In this paper, our focus is to perform a systematic review (SR) of usability management specifically for the aging population/senior citizens and its limitations. The systematic review aims to address three research questions: **1)** What is the current status of usability management/usability engineering management research for the aging population/senior citizen around the globe? As we found that the literature on usability management for the aging population began in 1992 and increased thereafter, there is a lack of organized research teams and dedicated usability management journals for researching the aging population. High-impact theoretical studies are scarce. On the application side, no original and systematic research frameworks have been developed. The understanding and definition of usability and usability management is not well synchronized with international norms. **2)** What are the existing methods, approaches, frameworks and practices that are currently being used in usability engineering management for the aging population? **3)** What are the limitations of usability engineering management for the aging population/senior citizen?

* Corresponding author.

Purpose of this study is to identify the current research problems, existing studies for providing valid solution to these problems and will find out the limitations of existing work for covering the existing problems in usability engineering management specifically for the aging population. This will be done by performing quantitative literature of different databases and all the results will be gathered by analyzing and summarizing the statistical data using “R Studio”. Remedial techniques for handling the limitation of usability engineering management will be planned in future for the aging population.

Keywords: Usability Management; Systematic review; Usability Engineering; SS, Usability for older age; Old aging; Aging population; Human computer Interaction; UEM4Aging; User Centered Design; older adults; User Interfaces; Software Usability Management; Usability management for senior citizens.

1. Introduction

Management plays a vital role in every field of life and as far as the software usability is concerned its management is quite emerging in today's industry of software development as far as the effective and efficient software design is concerned. Usability applications are gaining great attention of today's scientist, designers, and industry professionals in developed countries where there are active study populations, comprehensive theories, methods, practices, practical results, and mature industrial and professional organizations. Traditionally, Human Computer Interaction (HCI) bridges Natural Sciences as Psychology and Engineering like Informatics/Computer Science, whilst Usability Engineering (UE) is anchored in Software Technology and supports the actual implementation. By keeping together Human Computer Interaction and Usability Engineering, it can result in an impactful technology a little bit more attractive accessible, useful, useable and enjoyable for everyone. In most developed countries demographic developments move towards more and more aging population in single households. To improve the quality of life for the aging population is an emerging issue within our information society. Nowadays, the aging population and latest technologies are one of the important research and development areas [1], where accessibility, usability and lifelong learning play a vital role. There are number of application such as Intelligent User Interfaces (IUI) for Ambient Assisted Living (AAL) to facilitate the aging population [2,3]. These applications are design to support the demands and special needs of end users to provide them benefits from different aspect either in a physical, medical, emotional, motivational or educational respect. In developed countries, the aging population (senior citizen) are the last Internet frontier as every other age group is already online in vast numbers but unfortunately in developing countries its inverse to developed countries. Given this context, one question we would like to answer is as follows. What is the current status of usability engineering management research for the aging population? In this paper, through the systematic review of the literature published around the world and applying a combined qualitative and quantitative approach, we present the current status and existing problems of usability management research and practice around the globe in the aging population field. In study, in systematic review research questions are built according to the “**PICOC**” structure against research question research strings are built for different search strings including, **IEEE, ACM, GOOGLE SCHOLAR and SCIENCE DIRECT**. Databases are created against each search strings and search protocol is applied on the databases for final selection of papers, with help of “*data extraction forms*” data from each selected paper is extracted and reviewed statistically

2. Protocol for Search Process

After specifying research questions a review protocol is developed, it includes the following:

- The Search Process
- Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
- The Selection Process
- The Data Extraction Process
- Data Synthesis

3. Sources for Literature Search

Usability engineering management is a broad and interdisciplinary field with inconsistent terminologies. We searched Google Scholar, IEEE, Science Direct and ACM for primary studies

4. Literature Search Strategy

4.1. Research Question in PICOC Structure

1. RQ1: What is the current status of usability management/usability engineering management research for the aging population/senior citizen around the globe?

- *Population: Aging population/Senior Citizen*
- *Intervention: Usability Engineering Management*
- *Outcome: Status of Usability Management around the globe*

1) Search Strings/Second Step: Synonyms

a) Population

“Elder age people”, “Elderly age 60 years”, “For Aging”, “Elderly people”, “Old age people”, “Senior Citizen”, “Retired People”, “older people”, “Elderly”, “Aging”, “Elderly age”

b) Intervention

“Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches”, “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches”, “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques”, “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability

management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”

c) Outcome

“Usability Management trends in Pakistan” , “Software Usability Management Status”, “Status of Usability Management”, “Current Usability Management Status”, “Software Usability status”, Current Usability Management trends”, “Software Usability Management trends”, “Software Usability Trends and Status”

2) Strings Used for Primary Studies Search of Research Question 1

Table 1

Database	Search String
IEEE	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))
ACM	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))
Science Direct	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))
Google Scholar	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))

II. RQ2: What are the existing methods, approaches, frameworks and practices are currently using in usability engineering management for the aging population?

1) Search Strings/Second Step: Synonyms

a) Population

“Elder age people”, “Elderly age 60 years”, “For Aging”, “Elderly people”, “Old age people”, “Senior Citizen”, “Retired People”, “Older people”, “Elderly”, “Aging”, “Elderly age”

b) Intervention

“Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches”, “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches”, “Usability Practices and management”, “Usability design techniques”, “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”

c) Outcome

“Usability Management trends in Pakistan”, “Software Usability Management Status”, “Status of Usability Management”, “Current Usability Management Status”, “Software Usability status”, “Current Usability Management trends”, “Software Usability Management trends”, “Software Usability Trends and Status”

2) Strings Used for Primary Studies Search of Research Question 2

III. RQ3: What are the limitations of usability engineering management for the aging population?

1) Search strings/Second Step :Synonyms

a) Population

“Elder age people”, “Elderly age 60 years”, “For Aging”, “Elderly people”, “Old age people”, “Senior Citizen”, “Retired People”, “Older people”, “Elderly”, “Aging”, “Elderly age”

b) Intervention

“Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches”, “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development

and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”

Table 2[illegible]

c) Outcome

“Usability Management trends in Pakistan” , “Software Usability Management Status”, “Status of Usability Management”, “Current Usability Management Status”, “Software Usability status”, Current Usability Management trends”, “Software Usability Management trends”, “Software Usability Trends and Status”

Table 3

Database	Search String
IEEE	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))
ACM	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))
Science Direct	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))
Google Scholar	Aging in Usability management”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability management Evaluation”, “Usability engineering management in software development approaches” “Usability software development and management techniques”, “Software usability management measurement”, “Usability engineering”, “Usability management Analysis”, “Usability management methodologies”, “Usability software engineering methodologies”, “Usability Essentials”, “Usability engineering and management in software development process”, “Usability software engineering methods”, “Usability software development processes”, “Usability management software engineering practices”, “Usability management approaches” , “Usability Practices and management”, Usability design techniques” , “Usability guidelines”, “Usability engineering aspects”, “Usability management techniques”, “Usability management guidelines”))

2) Strings Used for Primary Studies Search of Research question 3

4.2. Literature Publication Search Strategy

As usability is with diverse terminology so we decided to use the search string with different synonyms of usability such as user satisfaction, user experience, user centered design, usefulness. We only searched the title and keywords fields in the literature published between 2004-2018.




Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart of Literature study selection and inclusion process

4.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria of Literature

Inclusion criteria include

- (1) screened out the Literature for Usability management trends and status for the aging population

Exclusion criteria include

- (1) Excluding research literature about Usability Management trends and status in other fields
- (2) Excluding duplicate entries, excluding those without complete information.

Excluding those without full text.

5. Data Extraction / Publication Quality Assessment

The summary sheet of literature information was designed to extract data from the selected literature. That will extract the finally selected papers assessing their quality and searching answers for research questions.

Quality Assessment Detail 1-5

1. Study provides detailed description of usability engineering and usability management trends for the aging population/senior citizen?

The possible answers to this question are: “Yes (+1)” if the paper provides detail description of Usability engineering/usability management trends for the aging population; “partially (0)” if the paper provides partial or not detail information about Usability engineering /Usability management trends for the aging population; and “No (_1)” if the paper does not provide any information about Usability Engineering/Usability management trends or the aging population.

2. The study provides the guideline as how the usability engineering management techniques are used for the aging population/senior citizen?

The possible answers to this question are: “Yes (+1)” if the paper provides information as how the usability engineering management techniques helped out the aging population; “partially (0)” if the paper provides partial or not detail information as how the usability engineering management techniques helped out the aging population; and “No (_1)” if the paper does not provide any information as how the usability engineering management techniques helped out the aging population

3. The study provides clear results after application of Usability engineering management status for the aging population/senior citizens across the world?

The possible answers to this question are: “Yes (+1)” if the paper provides clear results; “partially (0)” if the paper provides partial or not detail results; and “No (_1)” if the paper does not provide any results.

4. The study has been published in a relevant journal or conference proceedings?

The possible answers to this question are: “Very relevant (+1)”; “Relevant (0)”, and “Not so relevant (_1)”

This question will be rated by considering the order of relevance provided by the digital library, the CORE conference ranking (A, B and C conferences), and the Journal Citation Reports (JCR) lists.

5. The study has been cited by other authors?

The possible answers to this question are: “Yes (+1)” if the paper has been cited by more than five authors; “partially (0)” if the paper has been cited by 1–5 authors; and “No (_1)” if the paper has not been cited. This question was rated by considering the Google scholar citations count

Table 4

Paper/Publication Title:	
Authors:	Year of Publication:
Reference Type: Journal/Conference/Thesis/Unpublished	Publisher: IEEE/ACM/Google Scholar/Science Direct
Quality Assessment	(1) (0) (-1)
Study provides detailed description of usability engineering management for the aging population/senior citizen?	
The study provides the guideline as how the usability engineering management techniques are used for the aging population?	
The study provides clear results after application of Usability engineering management status for the aging population?	
The study has been published in a relevant journal or conference proceedings?	
The study has been cited by other authors?	
Data extraction for Questions	Answers
What are the usability influencing factors and problems encountered in usability management for the aging population?	
Which usability evaluation methods commonly used for evaluation?	Questionnaires User/researcher usability test Following existing guidelines Eye movement analysis and DIT theory and other methods Observation and interviews Heuristic evaluation Statistical analysis through system log files Cognitive walkthrough
How many types of usability evaluation methods are used to assess the usability management status in the paper?	Single evaluation method Two evaluation methods Three or more evaluation methods
Which usability management technique/method has been reported in this study?	Technique /Method
Which kind of case study discussed in the paper?	Network application for the aging population Mobile Technology for the aging population Computer Software for the aging population Computer hardware and others for the aging population
Data characteristics	Academia Mixed Industrial Government
What are the limitations of usability engineering management for the aging population/senior citizens?	Human factor design Care Communication & Listening Perception variation Distributed Environment Coordination Test Setting and preparation(TSP) Others
Empirical Validation of the usability management techniques applied for the aging population	Case Study Experiment Survey experience reports

	observational study, survey action research Others
Which classification of theoretical studies are mentioned here?	Design principles and ideas of usability management Evaluation method of usability management Development and influencing factor of usability management Design principles, ideas, and evaluation methods Development, influencing factor, and evaluation method of usability management Development, influencing factor, and design principles, ideas of usability management
Remarks:	

VI. Publication's General Information

Table 1 represent the general information of publications in the SS review process all these papers were finalized to gather the information according to our designed questions.

Through searching of the literature for fourteen years in the four databases, we obtained a total of 6029 publications. After applying our inclusion and exclusion criteria, 61 publications were included in our analysis

Reasons for the high exclusion rate are as follows.

- (1) *It happens commonly that repetition of same publication occurs in databases.*
- (2) *Unrelated field of study retrieved commonly.*
- (3) *Search function limitation by databases.*

Table 5

<i>General Information regarding publication</i>					
<i>Sr. No</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Author</i>	<i>Database (Digital Library)</i>	<i>Journal/Conference/Workshop</i>	<i>Year</i>
1	User centered management system for oldly people Empowering older people with interactive technologies to manage their activities at the retirement home	Otjacques Benoit, Krier Marc, Feltz Femand, Ferring Dieter, Hoffmann Martine	IEEE	Conference	2009
2	Proper Use of Web Technology to Teach Older People: A Case Study	Chandrima Chatterjee, Arunasish Acharya	IEEE	Conference	2016
3	Users' Perspective of Smartphone Platforms Usability: An Empirical Study	Amira Ahmed, Arif Raza, Sarmad Sadik	IEEE	Conference	2014

4	Teaching Older People using Web Technology: A Case Study	Nahdatul Akma Ahmad, Azaliza Zainal, Saliyah, Fariza Hanis Abdul Razak, Wan Adilah Wan Adnan	IEEE	Conference	2013
5	Metric Based Usability Evaluation for Adoption and Usage of Mobile Devices and Services by Oldly Population	Ruchika Singh, Sanjay Kumar Dubey	IEEE	Conference	2016
6	Performance of Daily Activities by Older Adults with Dementia: The Role of an Assistive Robot	Momotaz Begum, Rosalie Wang, Rajibul Huq, Alex Mihailidis	IEEE	Conference	2013
7	Older Adult Perceptions of Smart Home Technologies: Implications for Research, Policy & Market Innovations in Healthcare	J.F Coughlin, L.A. D'Ambrosio, B. Reimer, M. R. Pratt	IEEE	Conference	2007
8	Touch- and Audio-based Medication Management Service Concept for Vision Impaired Older People	Mari Ervasti, Minna Isomursu, Igone Idigoras Leibar	IEEE	Conference	2011
9	Acceptability and usability of a computer-based cognitive training program: an exploratory study with community-dwelling older adults	Daniela Figueiredo, Adriana Sousa, Marisa Lousada	IEEE	Conference	2017
10	Designing Brain Training Games and Evaluating the Usability between Young and Oldly	Jung-Ying Wang	IEEE	Conference	2013
11	Qualitative Findings on the Use of Mobile Phones by Malaysian Older People	Sofianiza Abd Malik, Muna Azuddin	IEEE	Conference	2013
12	Interface Design of a Central Monitoring Device Taking Cognitive Aging into Account	Keiji Ogata, Takatsune Kumada, Satoru Suto, Katsumi Watanabe, Toru Ifukube	IEEE	Conference	2011
13	Comparison of Game Experience and Preferences between Young and Oldly	Jung-Ying Wang	IEEE	Conference	2014
14	Seniors' usage of mobile social network sites: Applying theories of innovation diffusion and uses and gratifications	Myung Ja Kim, Choong-Ki Lee, Noshir S. Contractor	Science Direct	Journal	2018
15	Personal and other factors affecting acceptance of smartphone technology by older Chinese adults	Qi Ma, Alan H.S. Chan, Ke Chen	Science Direct	Journal	2015
16	The limits of participatory technology development: The case of service robots in care facilities for older people	Diego Compagna, Florian Kohlbacher	Science Direct	Journal	2014

17	Open data and the needs of older people for public transport information	N. B. Hounsell, B. P. Shrestha, M. McDonald, A. Wong	Science Direct	Journal	2016
18	An approach to vehicle design: In-depth audit to understand the needs of older drivers	Sukru Karali, Neil J. Mansfield, Diane E. Gyi	Science Direct	Journal	2017
19	Personalising web page presentation for older people	S.H. Kurniawan, A. King, D.G. Evans, P.L. Blenkhorn	Science Direct	Journal	2006
20	The impact of age on website usability	Nicole Wagner, Khaled Hassanein, Milena Head	Science Direct	Journal	2014
21	A framework to design a human-centred adaptive manufacturing system for aging workers	Margherita Peruzzini, Marcello Pellicciari	Science Direct	Journal	2017
22	Characteristics and usage patterns of older people in a 3D online multi-user virtual environment	Panote Siriaraya, Chee Siang Ang	Science Direct	Journal	2012
23	Development and usability of a decision support App for nurses to facilitate aging in place of people with dementia	T. Thoma-Lürken, M.A.S. Lexis, M.H.C. Bleijlevens, J.P.H. Hamers	Science Direct	Journal	2018
24	Design of a Smart Building Control with View to the Senior Citizens' Needs	J. Vanus, J. Koziorek, R. Hercik	Science Direct	Conference	2013
25	Adapting a Psychosocial Intervention for Smartphone Delivery to Middle-Aged and Older Adults with Serious Mental Illness	Karen L. Whiteman, Matthew C. Lohman, Lydia E. Gill, Martha L. Bruce, Stephen J. Bartels	Science Direct	Journal	2017
26	ICT and Older People: Beyond Usability	Eula` lia Hern_andez-Encuentra Modesta Pousada Beni G_omez-Zu` n` iga	Google Scholar	Journal	2009
27	The effect of previous exposure to technology on acceptance and its importance in usability and accessibility engineering	Andreas Holzinger Gig Searle Michaela Wernbacher	Google Scholar	Journal	2010
28	A Study of Web Usability for Older Adults Seeking Online Health Resources	Shirley Ann Becker	Google Scholar	Journal	2004
29	Use of Experimental Pain to Compare Psychometric Properties and Usability of Selected Pain Scales With Younger Adults	Keela A. Herr, Kevin Spratt, Paula R. Mobily, Giovanna Richardson,	Google Scholar	Journal	2004
30	Older adults' attitudes towards and perceptions of 'smart home' technologies: a pilot study	George Demiris, Marilyn J Rantz, Myra A Aud, Karen D Marek, Harry W Tyrer, Marjorie Skubic,	Google Scholar	Journal	2004

		Ali A Hussam			
31	Increasing the Usability of Online Information for Older Users: A Case Study in Participatory Design	R. Darin Ellis, Sri H. Kurniawan	Google Scholar	Journal	2009
31	Learning to use new technologies by older adults: Perceived difficulties, experimentation behaviour and usability	Yvonne Barnard, Mike D. Bradley, Frances Hodgson, Ashley D. Lloyd	Google Scholar	Journal	2013
32	Usability Testing by Older Adults of a Computer-Mediated Health Communication Program	Carolyn A. Lin, Patricia J. Neafsey, Zoe Strickler	Google Scholar	Journal	2009
33	Usability of Health Web Sites for Older Adults A Preliminary Study	Eun-shim Nahm, Jennifer Preece, Barbara Resnick, Mary etta Mills	Google Scholar	Journal	2004
34	Senior Citizens and E-commerce Websites: The Role of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and Web Site Usability	Terry J. Smith	Google Scholar	Journal	2008
35	Requirements engineering for e-Government services: A citizen-centric approach and case study	Lex van Velsen, Thea van der Geest, Marc ter Hedde, Wijnand Derks	Google Scholar	Journal	2009
36	Ambient Assisted Living Spaces Validation by Services and Devices Simulation	Carlos Fern´andez-Llatas, Juan Bautista Mochol´ı, Pilar Sala, Juan Carlos Naranjo, Salvatore F. Pileggi, Sergio Guill´en, Vicente Traver	Google Scholar	Conference	2011
37	Design ,implementation and wide pilot deployment of fit for all: an easy to use programming platform improving physical fitness and life quality of senior citizen	E. I. Konstantinidis, A. S. Billis, C. A. Mouzakidis, V. I. Zilidou, P. E. Antoniou P. D. Bamidis	Google Scholar	Journal	2014
38	Senior Citizens' Acceptance of Information Systems: A Study in the Context of e-Government Services	Chee Wei Phang, Juliana Sutanto, Atreyi Kankanhalli, Yan Li, Bernard C. Y. Tan, Hock-Hai Teo	Google Scholar	Journal	2006
39	Senior-Friendly Technologies: Interaction Design for Senior Users	Henry Been-Lirn Duh, Ellen Yi-Luen Do, Mark Billingham, Francis Quek, Vivian Chen Hsueh-Hua	Google Scholar	Workshop	2010
40	Oldly-technology interaction: accessibility and acceptability of technological devices	Tiziana C. Callari, Silvia Ciairano, Alessandra Re	Google Scholar	Workshop	2012

	promoting motor and cognitive training				
41	Usability of Touch-Panel Interfaces for Older Adults	Atsuo Murata, Hirokazu Iwase	Google Scholar	Journal	2005
42	Experiences from User Centric Engineering of Ambient Assisted Living Technologies in the Living Lab Schwechat	Paul Panek, Walter Hlauschek, Manfred Schrenk, Katharina Werner, Wolfgang L. Zagler	Google Scholar	Conference	2011
43	Knowledge-Based System for Web Interface Design	Maxim A. Bakaev, Tatiana V. Avdeenko	Google Scholar	Journal	2013
44	Redesigning Web Sites for Older Adults	Evelina Patsole, Panayiotis Koutsabasis	Google Scholar	Journal	2012
45	An Age-Old Problem: Examining the Discourses of Ageing in HCI and Strategies for Future Research	John Vines, Gary Pritchard, Peter Wright, Patrick Olivier, Katie Brittain	ACM	Journal	2015
46	Never Too Old: Engaging Retired People Inventing the Future with MaKey MaKey	Yvonne Rogers, Jeni Paay, Margot Brereton, Kaite Vaisutis, Gary Marsden, Frank Vetere	ACM	Conference	2014
47	Avaliação de interface de um aplicativo para uso em telefone celular e voltado para a terceira idade	Artur Martins Mol, Lucila Ishitani	ACM	Conference	2010
48	Interactive Multimodal Social Robot for Improving Quality of Care of Oldly in Australian Nursing Homes	Rajiv Khosla, Mei-Tai Chu, Reza Kachouie, Keiji Yamada, Fujita Yoshihiro, Tomoharu Yamaguchi	ACM	Conference	2012
49	Utilisabilité d'un système de stimulation cognitive	Melissa Brun, Gabriel Michel, Eric Brangier	ACM	Conference	2012
50	WISE: a Wizard Interface Supporting Enhanced Usability	Joshua M. Hailpern	ACM	Conference	2006
51	How Universal is Good Design for Older Users?	Dan Hawthorn	ACM	Conference	2003
52	Utilisabilité et discrimination : étude préliminaire des machines à voter françaises	Gabriel Michel, Mathieu Klein	ACM	Conference	2008
53	The Potential of Adaptive Interfaces as an Accessibility Aid for Older Web Users	David Sloan, Matthew Tylee Atkinson, Colin Machin, Yunqiu Li	ACM	Conference	2010
54	Being Old Doesn't Mean Acting Old: How Older Users Interact with Spoken Dialog Systems	Maria Wolters, Kallirroi Georgila, Johanna D. Moore, Sarah E. Macpherson	ACM	Conference	2009
55	The Impact of User Research on Product Design Case Study: Accessibility Ecosystem for Windows Vista	Annuska Perkins, Tira Cohene	ACM	Conference	2006

56	A New Tourist Audio Guide Service for Oldly People Integrated in the Mobile Phone: Preliminary Results	Unai Díaz, Álvaro García, Alejandro de Felipe	ACM	Conference	2010
57	Can Cognitive and Functional Measures explain Interactions between Oldly People with Different Levels of Cognitive Decline and an Avatar on TV?	Unai Díaz, Aitziber Etxaniz, Mari Feli González, Cristina Buiza, Elena Urdaneta, Javier Yanguas	ACM	Conference	2011
58	Web Usability and Age: How Design Changes Can Improve Performance	Ann Chadwick-Dias, Michelle McNulty, Tom Tullis	ACM	Conference	2003
59	Engaging Older People using Participatory Design	Stephen Lindsey, Danial Jackson, Guy Schofield, Patrick Olivier	ACM	Conference	2012
60	Evaluating a Pen-based Computer Interface for Novice Older Users	Dante Arias Torres	ACM	Conference	2006
61	About the relevance of accessibility barriers in the everyday interactions of older people with the web	Sergio Sayago, Josep Blat	ACM	Conference	2009

All above details and graphical results regarding publications are gathered from the references [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41, 42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65]

VII. Graphical Representation of analyzed data Results and Specific Information Regarding Research Paper




Figure 2: Source of Publication

Q.7: Publisher		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
ACM	29.5	18
IEEE	21.3	13
GOOGLESCHOLAR	29.5	18
SCIENCEDIRECT	19.67	12
Total Responses= 61 Answered Responses= 61 Skipped Response= 0		
Mean= 2.393		
Standard Deviation =0.143		
Variance= 1.243		
Standard Error =1.115		




Figure 3: Publisher

Q.8: Study provides detailed description of usability engineering for old age people/senior citizen?		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
1	77.04%	47
0	22.9 %	14
-1	0%	0
Total Responses= 61 Answered Responses=61 Skipped=0		
Mean= 0.770		
Standard Deviation =0.424		
Variance=0.179		
Standard Error=0.054		

Study provides detailed description of usability engineering for old age people/senior citizen?




Figure 4: Study provides detailed description of usability engineering for the aging population/senior citizen?

Q.9: The study provides the guideline as how the usability engineering techniques are used for elder age people		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
1	70.49%	43
0	29.50%	18
-1	0%	0
Total Responses= 61 Answered Responses= 61 Skipped=0		
Mean= 0.705		
Standard Deviation = 0.459		
Variance=0.211		
Standard Error=0.059		

The study provides the guideline as how the usability engineering techniques are used for elder age people




Figure 5: The study provides the guideline as how the usability engineering techniques are used for the aging population

Q 10: The study provides clear results after application of Usability engineering status for elder age people/senior citizens across the world?		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
1	80.3%	49
0	19.67%	12
-1	0%	0%
Total Responses= 61 Answered Responses= 61 Skipped=0		
Mean= 0.803 Standard Deviation = 0.401 Variance= 0.161 Standard Error=0.051		

The study provides clear results after application of Usability engineering status for elder age people/senior citizens across the world?




Figure 6: The study provides clear results after application of Usability engineering status for the aging population/senior citizens across the world?

Q 11: The study has been published in a relevant journal or conference proceedings?		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
1	93.44	57
0	6.55%	4
-1	0%	0
Total Responses= 61 Answered Responses= 61 Skipped=61		
Mean= 0.9344262 Standard Deviation = 0.2495898 Variance= 0.6229508 Standard Error=0.0319567		

The study has been published in a relevant journal or conference proceedings?




Figure 7: The study has been published in a relevant journal or conference proceedings?

Q 12: The study has been cited by other authors?		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
1	98%	60
0	1.63%	1
-1	0%	0
Total Responses=61 Answered Responses= 61 Skipped=0		
Mean= 0.983 Standard Deviation =0.128 Variance= 0.016 Standard Error =0.016		

The study has been cited by other authors?




Figure 8: The study has been cited by other authors?

Q13: Empirical Validation of the usability techniques applied for elder age people?		
Options	Response Percentage	Responses
Case Study	13.11%	8
Observation Study	31.1%	19
Experiment	29.5%	18
Survey	11.47%	7
Experience Report	9.83%	6
Action Research	3.27%	2
Others	1.63%	1
Total Responses= 61 Answered Responses= 61 Skipped=0		
Mean= 3.327		
Standard Deviation =1.630		
Variance=2.657		
Standard Error=0.209		

Empirical Validation of the usability techniques applied for elder age people?




Figure 9: Empirical Validation of the usability techniques applied for the aging population?

6. Conclusion

In this systematic review (SR) performed on usability management and usability engineering management for the aging population, three research questions were established and research strings were designed using PICOC structure to extract research papers from different database, including ACM, IEEE, Google Scholar, and Science Direct. Search protocol was designed for setting studies rules regulations to follow for summarize and concrete results after analysis. On the basis of set protocols how the usability engineering techniques are used for the aging population while only 30 percent studies partially describe the techniques applications. In SR 80 percent study provides clear results of usability management engineering status for the aging population/senior citizens across the world, 20 percent are partially providing results of applications. Research detect the limitations of usability management and usability engineering management for the aging population. This question was answered by different researchers, with 19 percent researchers said Care Communication and Listening “CCL” is a limitation for UEM4Aging, 23 percent indicated perception variation as a limitation for UEM4Aging, and 32 percent indicated Test Setting and Preparation “TSP” while testing usability management software for the aging population. In SR conducted, 33 percent discussed team coordination issues and 16 percent indicated that distributed environment are creating limitation for usability engineering management for the aging population. From these results, it is clear that researchers are working on usability management engineering for senior citizen. They are trying to find the existing limitations as faced by usability management engineering for the aging population. They are also working on remedial techniques for usability management engineering for the aging population. The aim of this systematic review was performing a detailed analysis of the limitations of UE4Aging and analyzing the existing remedial work and its limitations. From here we extract detail problems analysis, current strategies presents their limitations. We are statistically able to judge the problems, their nature and affect for the aging population. Inclusion and exclusion criteria was applied on selected data bases. Papers were selected iteration wise against each research question from these finalized data bases 61 papers were selected, these selected papers were analyzed, reviewed and data was extracted based according to data extraction form. The study summarized that different researchers made efforts for usability engineering management for the aging population/senior citizens. Different techniques of usability management were applied for covering usability limitations for the aging population. The collected data is statistically analyzed by Statistical software “R Studio” and according to this analysis, research papers selected for this study were taken

between 2004-2018, out of 100 percent 44 percent papers were published in journal 53 percent in conference and 3 percent were workshops publications.

7. Future Work

In future on the basis of these detailed limitations identified in SR (Systematic review) faced by usability management engineering some remedial techniques has to be proposed to handle the highlighted limitations

References

- [1]. Emiliani, P.L., Stephanidis, C. (2005), Universal access to ambient intelligence environments: Opportunities and challenges for people with disabilities. *IBM Systems Journal* 44(3), 605–619 (2005)
- [2]. Mukasa, K.S., Holzinger, A., Karshmer, A.I. (2008) (eds.): *Intelligent User Interfaces for Ambient Assisted Living*. Fraunhofer IRB (2008)
- [3]. Jakob Nielsen (2018), “Seniors as Web Users”, <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/usability-for-senior-citizens/> retrieved Online on 31st august 2018
- [4]. Otjacques Benoit, Krier Marc, Feltz Femand, Ferring Dieter, Hoffmann Martine (2009), User-centered activity management system for oldly people Empowering older people with interactive technologies to manage their activities at the retirement home, 2009 3rd International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare, DOI:10.410biicst.pervasivehealth2009.6042 <http://dx.doi.org/10.410biicst.pervasivehealth2009.6042>
- [5]. Chandrima Chatterjee, Arunasish Acharya (2016), Proper Use of Web Technology to Teach Older People: A Case Study, 3rd International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (TCACCS -2016), Jan. 22 & 23, 2016, Coimbatore, INDIA
- [6]. Amira Ahmed, Arif Raza, Sarmad Sadik (2014) Users’ Perspective of Smartphone Platforms Usability: An Empirical Study, IEEE, 2014 Fifth International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation
- [7]. Nahdatul Akma Ahmad, Azaliza Zainal, Saliyah, Fariza Hanis Abdul Razak, Wan Adilah Wan Adnan (2013), Teaching Older People using Web Technology: A Case Study, IEEE ,2013 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science Applications and Technologies
- [8]. Ruchika Singh, Sanjay Kumar Dubey (2016), Metric Based Usability Evaluation for Adoption and Usage of Mobile Devices and Services by Oldly Population, IEEE, 2016 International Conference on Computing for Sustainable Global Development (INDIACom)
- [9]. Momotaz Begum, Rosalie Wang, Rajibul Huq, Alex Mihailidis (2013), Performance of Daily Activities by Older Adults with Dementia: The Role of an Assistive Robot, 2013 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation
- [10]. J.F Coughlin, L.A. D’Ambrosio, B. Reimer, M.R.Pratt (2007), Older Adult Perceptions of Smart Home Technologies: Implications for Research, Policy & Market Innovations in Healthcare, Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS Cité Internationale 2007.
- [11]. Mari Ervasti, Minna Isomursu, Igone Idigoras Leibar (2011), Touch- and Audio-based Medication Management Service Concept for Vision Impaired Older People, 2011 IEEE International Conference

on RFID-Technologies and Applications

- [12]. Daniela Figueiredo, Adriana Sousa, Marisa Lousada (2017) Acceptability and usability of a computer-based cognitive training program: an exploratory study with community-dwelling older adults, IEEE 12th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI), 2017.
- [13]. Jung-Ying Wang (2016), Designing Brain Training Games and Evaluating the Usability between Young and Oldly, IEEE, 2016 3rd International Conference on Information Science and Control Engineering
- [14]. Sofianiza Abd Malik, Muna Azuddin (2013), Qualitative Findings on the Use of Mobile Phones by Malaysian Older People, IEEE, 2013 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science Applications and Technologies, 978-1-4799-2758-6/13 © 2013 IEEE DOI 10.1109/ACSAT.2013.91
- [15]. Keiji Ogata, Takatsune Kumada, Satoru Suto, Katsumi Watanabe, Toru Ifukube (2011), Interface Design of a Central Monitoring Device Taking Cognitive Aging into Account, 9-12 Oct 2011 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics
- [16]. Jung-Ying Wang, (2014), Comparison of Game Experience and Preferences between Young and Oldly, IEEE, 7-9 July 2014 International Conference on Audio, Language and Image Processing
- [17]. Qi Ma, Q., Chan, A.H.S., Chen, K. (2015), Personal and other factors affecting acceptance of smartphone technology by older Chinese adults, *Applied Ergonomics*, Volume 54, May 2016, Pages 62-71
- [18]. Diego Compagna, Florian Kohlbacher, (2014), The limits of participatory technology development: The case of service robots in care facilities for older people, *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, Published by Elsevier Inc.
- [19]. N. B. Hounsell, B. P. Shrestha, M. McDonald, A. Wong, (2016), Open data and the needs of older people for public transport information, 6th Transport Research Arena April 18-21, 2016, *Procedia* 14 (2016) 4334 – 4343
- [20]. Karali, S., Mansfield, N. J., Gyi, D.E. (2017), An approach to vehicle design: In-depth audit to understand the needs of older drivers, *Applied ergonomics*, Volume 58, January 2017, Pages 461-470
- [21]. S.H. Kurniawan, A. King, D.G. Evans, P.L. Blenkhorn (2006), Personalising web page presentation for older people, *Interacting with Computers*, 18 (2006) 457–477
- [22]. Nicole Wagner, Khaled Hassanein, Milena Head (2014), The impact of age on website usability, *Journal of Computers in Human Behavior* 37 (2014) 270–282
- [23]. Margherita Peruzzini, Marcello Pellicciari, (2017), A framework to design a human-centred adaptive manufacturing system for aging workers, *Advanced Engineering Informatics* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2017.02.003> 1474-0346/_ 2017 Elsevier Ltd
- [24]. Panote Siriaraya, Chee Siang Ang (2012), Characteristics and usage patterns of older people in a 3D online multi-user virtual environment, *Journal of Computers in Human Behavior* 28 (2012) 1873–1882
- [25]. T. Thoma-Lürken, M.A.S. Lexis, M.H.C. Bleijlevens, J.P.H. Hamers (2018), Development and usability of a decision support App for nurses to facilitate aging in place of people with dementia, *Applied Nursing Research* 42 (2018) 35–44
- [26]. J. Vanus, J., Koziorek, R. Hercik (2013), Design of a Smart Building Control with View to the Senior

- Citizens' Needs, 12th IFAC Conference on Programmable Devices and Embedded Systems, The International Federation of Automatic Control September 25-27, 2013
- [27]. Karen L. Whiteman, Matthew C. Lohman, Lydia E. Gill, Martha L. Bruce, Stephen J. Bartels (2017), Adapting a Psychosocial Intervention for Smartphone Delivery to Middle-Aged and Older Adults with Serious Mental Illness, Accepted at The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2016.12.007>
 - [28]. Hernández-Encuentra, E., Pousada, M., Gómez-Zúñiga, B (2009), ICT and Older People: Beyond Usability, Journal of Educational Gerontology, Educational Gerontology, Volume 35, 2009 - Issue 3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03601270802466934>
 - [29]. Andreas Holzinger, Gig Searle, Michaela Wernbacher (2010), The effect of previous exposure to technology on acceptance and its importance in usability and accessibility engineering, Springer 2010, DOI 10.1007/s10209-010-0212-x
 - [30]. SHIRLEY ANN BECKER (2004), A Study of Web Usability for Older Adults Seeking Online Health Resources, ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Vol. 11, No. 4, December 2004, Pages 387–406.
 - [31]. Herr, K.A., Spratt, K., Mobily, P.R., Richardson, G. (2004), Pain intensity assessment in older adults: Use of Experimental Pain to Compare Psychometric Properties and Usability of Selected Pain Scales With Younger Adults, Clinical journal of Pain, Volume 20, Number 4, July/August 2004
 - [32]. George Demiris, Marilyn J Rantz, Myra A Aud, Karen D Marek, Harry W Tyrer, Marjorie Skubic, Ali A Hussam (2004), Older adults' attitudes towards and perceptions of smart home' technologies: a pilot study, Medical Informatics and the Internet in Medicine, (JUNE 2004) VOL. 29, NO. 2, 87–94
 - [33]. R. Darin Ellis & Sri H. Kurniawan (2009), Increasing the Usability of Online Information for Older Users: A Case Study in Participatory Design, International Journal of Human- Computer Interaction, 12:2, 263-276, http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/S15327590IJHC1202_6
 - [34]. Yvonne Barnard, Mike D. Bradley, Frances Hodgson, Ashley D. Lloyd (2013), Learning to use new technologies by older adults: Perceived difficulties, experimentation behaviour and usability, Computers in Human Behavior 29 (2013) 1715–1724
 - [35]. Carolyn a. Lin, Patricia J. Neafsey, Zoe Strickler (2009), Usability Testing by Older Adults of a Computer-Mediated Health Communication Program, Journal of Health Communication, 14:102–118, 2009
 - [36]. Eun-shim Nahm, Jennifer Preece, Barbara Resnick, Mary Etta Mills (2004), Usability of Health Web Sites for Older Adults A Preliminary Study, CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing, Vol. 22, No. 6, 326–334
 - [37]. Terry J. Smith (2008), Senior Citizens and E-commerce Websites: The Role of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and Web Site Usability, Informing Science: the International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline Volume 11, 2008
 - [38]. Lex van Velsen, Thea van der Geest, Marc ter Hedde, Wijnand Derks (2009), Requirements engineering for e-Government services: A citizen-centric approach and case study, Government Information Quarterly 26 (2009) 477–486

- [39]. Carlos Fern´andez-Llatas, Juan Bautista Mochol´ı, Pilar Sala, Juan Carlos Naranjo, Salvatore F. Pileggi, Sergio Guill´en ,Vicente Traver (2011), Ambient Assisted Living Spaces Validation by Services and Devices Simulation, 33rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS Boston, Massachusetts USA, August 30 - September 3, 2011
- [40]. E. I. Konstantinidis, A. S. Billis, C. A. Mouzakidis, V. I. Zilidou, P. E. Antoniou and P. D. Bamidis (2014), Design, implementation and wide pilot deployment of fit for all: an easy to use programming platform improving physical fitness and life quality of senior citizen, IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, JBHI-00474-2014
- [41]. Chee Wei Phang, Juliana Sutanto, Atreyi Kankanhalli, Yan Li, Bernard C. Y. Tan, Hock-Hai Teo (2006), Senior Citizens' Acceptance of Information Systems: A Study in the Context of e-Government Services, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT, VOL. 53, NO. 4, NOVEMBER 2006
- [42]. Henry Been-Lirn Duh, Ellen Yi-Luen Do, Mark Billingham, Francis Quek, Vivian Chen Hsueh-Hua (2010), Senior-Friendly Technologies: Interaction Design for Senior Users,CHI, Workshop April 10-15,2010
- [43]. Tiziana C. Callari, Silvia Ciairano, Alessandra Re (2012), Oldly-technology interaction: accessibility and acceptability of technological devices promoting motor and cognitive training, Work 41 (2012) 362-369
- [44]. Atsuo Murata, Hirokazu Iwase (2005), Usability of Touch-Panel Interfaces for Older Adults, Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, Vol. 47, No. 4, 2005, pp. 767–776
- [45]. Paul Panek, Walter Hlauschek, Manfred Schrenk, Katharina Werner, Wolfgang L. Zagler (2011), Experiences from User Centric Engineering of Ambient Assisted Living Technologies in the Living Lab Schwechat, Conference of Concurrent Enterprising (ICE), 2011 17th International Conference on, At Aachen, Germany
- [46]. Maxim A. Bakaev and Tatiana V. Avdeenko (2013), Knowledge-Based System for Web Interface Design, International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology, Vol. 4, No. 1, February 2013
- [47]. Evelina Patsoule, Panayiotis Koutsabasis (2012), Redesigning Web Sites for Older Adults, PETRA june 6-8,2012
- [48]. John Vines, Gary Pritchard, Peter Wright, Patrick Olivier, Katie Brittain (2015) , An Age-Old Problem: Examining the Discourses of Ageing in HCI and Strategies for Future Research, ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Vol. 22, No. 1, Article 2, Publication date: February 2015.
- [49]. Yvonne Rogers, Jeni Paay, Margot Brereton, Kaite Vaisutis, Gary Marsden, Frank Vetere (2014), Never Too Old: Engaging Retired People Inventing the Future with MaKey MaKey, CHI 2014, One of a CHIInd, Toronto, ON, Canada, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557184>
- [50]. Artur Martins Mol, Lucila Ishitani (2010) Avaliao de interface de um aplicativo para uso em telefone celular e voltado para a terceira idade, IHC 2010 – IX Simpsio de Fatores Humanos em Sistemas Computacionais. October 5-8, 2010, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil
- [51]. Rajiv Khosla, Mei-Tai Chu, Reza Kachouie, Keiji Yamada, Fujita Yoshihiro, Tomoharu Yamaguchi (2012), Interactive Multimodal Social Robot for Improving Quality of Care of Oldly in Australian

Nursing Homes, ACM, MM'12, October 29–November 2, 2012, Nara, Japan.

- [52]. Melissa Brun, Gabriel Michel, Eric Brangier (2012), Utilisabilité d'un système de stimulation cognitive, Ergo'IHM 2012, October 16–19, 2012, Biarritz, France.
- [53]. Joshua M. Hailpern (2006), WISE: a Wizard Interface Supporting Enhanced Usability, ASSETS'06, October 22–25, 2006, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- [54]. Dan Hawthorn (2003), How Universal is Good Design for Older Users? CUU'03, November 10-11, 2003, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
- [55]. Gabriel Michel, Mathieu Klein (2008), Utilisabilité et discrimination: étude préliminaire des machines à voter françaises, IHM'08, 2-5 Septembre 2008, Metz, France
- [56]. Beth Meyer, Dominic G. Bouwhuis, Sara J. Czaja, Wendy A. Rogers, Matthias Schneider-Hufschmidt, James L. Fozard (1999), Senior CHI: How Can We Make Technology "Old-Friendly?", CHI 99 15-20 MAY 1999
- [57]. Maria Wolters, Kallirroi Georgila, Johanna D. Moore, Sarah e. Macpherson (2009), Being Old Doesn't Mean Acting Old: How Older Users Interact with Spoken Dialog Systems, ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing, Vol. 2, No. 1, Article 2, Pub. Date: May 2009.
- [58]. Annuska Perkins, Tira Cohene (2006), The Impact of User Research on Product Design Case Study: Accessibility Ecosystem for Windows Vista, ASSETS'06, October 22–25, 2006, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- [59]. Unai Díaz, Álvaro García, Alejandro de Felipe (2010), A New Tourist Audio Guide Service for Oldly People Integrated in the Mobile Phone: Preliminary Results, PETRA'10, June 23–25, 2010, Samos, Greece.
- [60]. Unai Díaz, Aitziber Etxaniz, Mari Feli González, Cristina Buiza, Elena Urdaneta, Javier Yanguas (2011), Can Cognitive and Functional Measures explain Interactions between Oldly People with Different Levels of Cognitive Decline and an Avatar on TV? PETRA'11, May 25–27, 2011, Crete, Greece.
- [61]. Ann Chadwick-Dias, Michelle McNulty, Tom Tullis (2003), Web Usability and Age: How Design Changes Can Improve Performance, CUU'03, November 10-11, 2003, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
- [62]. Stephen Lindsey, Danial Jackson, Guy Schofield, Patrick Olivier (2012), Engaging Older People using Participatory Design, CHI 2012, May 5–10, 2012, Austin, Texas, USA
- [63]. Dante Arias Torres (2006), Evaluating a Pen-based Computer Interface for Novice Older Users, ASSETS'06, October 22-25, 2006, Portland, Oregon, USA.
- [64]. Sergio Sayago, Josep Blat (2009), About the relevance of accessibility barriers in the everyday interactions of older people with the web, W4A2009 - Technical, April 20-21, 2009, Madrid, Spain. Co-Located with the 18th International World Wide Web Conference.
- [65]. David Sloan, Matthew Tylee Atkinson, Colin Machin, Yunqiu Li (2010), The Potential of Adaptive Interfaces as an Accessibility Aid for Older Web Users, W4A2010 – Technical, April 26–27, 2010, Raleigh, USA. Co-Located with the 19th International World Wide Web Conference.

Bibliography

Anam Ashraf got her master's degree MS (SE) National University of Sciences & Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan. Currently, she is doing PHD in Management Sciences and Engineering from North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power (NCWU) Henan, China. She has an experience of over one year in the field of Software Testing and 1 year worked in the capacity of Information Security at Ultra Spectra Pvt. Ltd, Islamabad. She also had the experience of Quality Testing and Web Designing while working as a Software Quality Test Engineer at Center for Advanced Research in Engineering (CARE) Pvt. Ltd. Moreover, she had teaching experience of one year at Mohi ud Din Islamic University as computer science Lecturer and one year as a Software Engineering Lecturer in Foundation University (FURC) ,Pakistan Her research areas encompasses usability engineering ,inclusive education management, requirements engineering and artificial Intelligence.

Dr Xiaoxian Zhu specialises in talent management, learning&development and employability in high-tech industries. She is the REF Lead for UoA Business & Management and a Postgraduate Research Tutor. Dr Zhu is an academic member of CIPD and a subject examiner of international examining bodies. For her academic performance in this field, she has a good record of high quality public presentations in the UK and internationally, publications and research funding. She is a Distinguished Professor at Xi'an International University and a Visiting Professor at Zhejiang University of Technology, China. Xiaoxian has taught in HRM and Business Management at undergraduate, postgraduate and doctoral levels at universities in the UK and overseas. She has held roles of principal lecturer (International) and programme leader of MBA and MA HRM at TUBS. She has also served leading UK universities for online MBA and MSc HRM programmes. Prior to her academic career, she was a marketing executive at a multinational automotive company in FT Global 500.

Dr. JianHua Liu received his M.Sc. (1991) from Zhengzhou University (China), and Ph.D (2010) from University of Science and Technology Beijing (China). During his professional career, he has been actively involved in teaching and research. Dr. Liu has authored and co-authored several research articles in peer reviewed journals and conference proceedings. His current research interests include big data theory, database application and image recognition and processing. He is presently serving as Professor at Dept. Information Engineering North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power.

Qasim Rauf got his bachelor's degree BSc Electrical Engineering from Gujranwala institute of Future Technology (GIFT)Gujranwala ,Pakistan. Currently, he is doing his Master's (MS) in Electrical engineering from North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power (NCWU) Henan, China. He have taken an interest voluntary in Blood Donating Society (GIFT Blood Group Society), was also the Vice President of GIFT Character Building Society. His current research areas encompasses Power engineering & Renewable energy.